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**Complexity science**

**The challenge of complexity in health care**

Paul E Plsek, Trisha Greenhalgh

Across all disciplines, at all levels, and throughout the world, health care is becoming more complex. Just 30 years ago the typical general practitioner in the United Kingdom practised from privately owned premises with a minimum of support staff, subscribed to a single journal, phoned up a specialist whenever he or she needed advice, and did around an hour’s paperwork per week. The specialist worked in a hospital, focused explicitly on a particular system of the body, was undisputed leader of his or her “firm,” and generally left administration to the administrators. These individuals often worked long hours, but most of their problems could be described in biomedical terms and tackled using the knowledge and skills they had acquired at medical school.

You used to go to the doctor when you felt ill, to find out what was wrong with you and get some medicine that would make you better. These days you are as likely to be there because the doctor (or the nurse, the care coordinator, or even the computer) has sent for you. Your treatment will now be dictated by the evidence—but this may well be imprecise, equivocal, or conflicting. Your declared values and preferences may be used, formally or informally, in a shared management decision about your illness. The solution to your problem is unlikely to come in a bottle and may well involve a multidisciplinary team.

Not so long ago public health was the science of controlling infectious diseases by identifying the “cause” (an alien organism) and taking steps to remove or contain it. Today’s epidemics have fuzzier boundaries (one is even known as “syndrome X”): they are the result of the interplay of genetic predisposition, environmental context, and lifestyle choices.

The experience of escalating complexity on a practical and personal level can lead to frustration and disillusionment. This may be because there is genuine cause for alarm, but it may simply be that traditional ways of “getting our heads round the problem” are no longer appropriate. Newton’s “clockwork universe,” in which big problems can be broken down into smaller ones, analysed, and solved by rational deduction, has strongly influenced both the practice of medicine and the leadership of organisations. For example, images such as the heart as a pump frame medical thinking, and conventional management thinking assumes that work and organisations can be thoroughly planned, broken down into units, and optimised.

But the machine metaphor lets us down badly when no part of the equation is constant, independent, or predictable. The new science of complex adaptive systems may provide new metaphors that can help us to deal with these issues better. In this series of articles we shall explore new approaches to issues in clinical practice, organisational leadership, and education. In this introductory article, we lay out some basic principles for understanding complex systems.

---

**Summary points**

The science of complex adaptive systems provides important concepts and tools for responding to the challenges of health care in the 21st century

Clinical practice, organisation, information management, research, education, and professional development are interdependent and built around multiple self-adjusting and interacting systems

In complex systems, unpredictability and paradox are ever present, and some things will remain unknowable

New conceptual frameworks that incorporate a dynamic, emergent, creative, and intuitive view of the world must replace traditional “reduce and resolve” approaches to clinical care and service organisation

---

**Complex adaptive systems: some basic concepts**

**Definitions and examples**

A complex adaptive system is a collection of individual agents with freedom to act in ways that are not always totally predictable, and whose actions are interconnected so that one agent’s actions changes the context for other agents. Examples include the immune system, a colony of termites, the financial market, and just about any collection of humans (for example, a family, a committee, or a primary healthcare team).

**Fuzzy, rather than rigid, boundaries**

In mechanical systems boundaries are fixed and well defined; for example, knowing what is and is not a part of a car is no problem. Complex systems typically have fuzzy boundaries. Membership can change, and agents can simultaneously be members of several systems. This can complicate problem solving and lead to unexpected actions in response to change. For example, Dr Simon (box) cannot understand why staff are so resistant to a small extension of surgery opening hours. Perhaps it is the fact that the apparently simple adjustment to working arrangements will play havoc with their own lunchtime involvements with other social systems—these meeting a child from school, attending a meeting or study class, or making contact with others who themselves have fixed lunch hours.

**Agents’ actions are based on internalised rules**

In a complex adaptive system, agents respond to their environment by using internalised rule sets that drive action. In a biochemical system, the “rules” are a series of chemical reactions. At a human level, the rules can be expressed as instincts, constructs, and mental mod-
Dr Fiona Simon is a part time partner in a large health centre and the clinical governance lead for her primary care trust. After a busy morning surgery she goes on to chair a multidisciplinary educational meeting on a local initiative to establish local asthma guidelines at which an academic expert gives a talk on evidence. She emerges from the meeting somewhat irritated that the world presented by the academic is so black and white. She was surprised to hear herself described by a colleague as an “opinion leader and advocate of evidence based medicine.” In fact, she reflects, she found herself agreeing with a group of nurses in the audience, who protested that “patients very rarely fit the textbook case or the evidence based medicine guidelines.”

Later, during an overbooked afternoon surgery, she sees Mr Henderson, a 71 year old widower who has diabetes and little in the way of social support. He has no new physical problems and Dr Simon notes that the patient was told last time to see her in six months’ time—but once again he has returned after less than two weeks. She gives him five minutes and writes “Gen. chat” in his record.

In the evening, there is a practice staff meeting to discuss a proposal that the surgery should stay open an additional 30 minutes after lunch to accommodate patients who can only leave work in their lunch breaks. Dr Simon has sent round a memo suggesting that a different duty team of doctor, nurse, and receptionist could run the service each day. The meeting was scheduled to last 20 minutes but goes on for over an hour, and the issue is not resolved; two of the five partners are vehemently opposed and did not even stay for the meeting. “Opening over lunch worked fine in my brother’s practice,” thinks Dr Simon on her way home. “Why the furor among the staff and my partners?”

The mental models and rules within which independent agents operate are not fixed. The fourth article in this series—on complexity and education—will explore this point in more detail.8

The agents and the system are adaptive

Because the agents within it can change, a complex system can adapt its behaviour over time.9 At a biochemical level, adaptive micro-organisms frequently develop antibiotic resistance. At the level of human behaviour, Mr Henderson (see box) seems to have learnt that the surgery is somewhere he can come for a friendly chat. As this example illustrates, adaptation within the system can be for better or for worse, depending on whose point of view is being considered.

Systems are embedded within other systems and co-evolve

The evolution of one system influences and is influenced by that of other systems.10 Dr Simon and Mr Henderson have together evolved a system of behaviour; they have both contributed to the pattern of frequent visits we now observe. The health centre is also embedded within a locality and the wider society, and these also play a part in Mr Henderson’s behaviour. A subsequent article in this series will explore how medical care for people with diabetes is embedded in wider social and other systems.11 Our efforts to improve the formal system of medical care can be aided or thwarted by these other more informal “shadow systems.”12 Since each agent and each system is nested within other systems, all evolving together and interacting, we cannot fully understand any of the agents or systems without reference to the others.

Tension and paradox are natural phenomena, not necessarily to be resolved

The fact that complex systems interact with other complex systems leads to tension and paradox that can never be fully resolved. In complex social systems, the seemingly opposing forces of competition and cooperation often work together in positive ways—fierce competition within an industry can improve the collective performance of all participants.13

Many will sympathise with Dr Simon’s uneasiness about evidence based medicine. There is an insoluble paradox between the need for consistent and evidence based standards of care and the unique predicament, context, priorities, and choices of the individual patient. Whereas conventional reductionist scientific thinking assumes that we shall eventually figure it all out and resolve all the unresolved issues, complexity theory is comfortable with and even values such inherent tension between different parts of the system.

Interaction leads to continually emerging, novel behaviour

The behaviour of a complex system emerges from the interaction among the agents. The observable outcomes are more than merely the sum of the parts—the properties of hydrogen and oxygen atoms cannot be simply combined to account for the noise or shimmer of a babbling brook.14 The next article in this series considers the application of complexity thinking in healthcare organisations; it will describe how the productive interaction of individuals can lead to novel approaches to issues.15 The inability to account for surprise, creativity, and emergent phenomena is the major shortcoming of reductionist thinking.

Inherent non-linearity

The behaviour of a complex system is often non-linear. For example, in weather forecasting the fundamental laws governing gases contain non-linear terms that lead to what complexity scientists have called “sensitive dependence on initial conditions,” such that a small difference in the initial variables leads to huge differences in outcomes.16

This property of non-linearity appears in all complex systems. Dr Simon, for example, was surprised by the uproar over her suggestion of a seemingly small change—to remain open an additional 30 minutes during the lunch hour.

Inherent unpredictability

Because the elements are changeable, the relationships non-linear, and the behaviour emergent and sensitive to small changes, the detailed behaviour of any
complex system is fundamentally unpredictable over time. Ultimately, the only way to know exactly what a complex system will do is to observe it: it is not a question of better understanding of the agents, of better models, or of more analysis.

**Inherent pattern**

Despite the lack of detailed predictability, it is often possible to make generally true and practically useful statements about the behaviour of a complex system. There is often an overall pattern. For example, Mr Henderson will turn up periodically in Dr Simon's surgery until something is done to alter his behaviour. We cannot predict the exact timing of his appointments or his chief complaint—nor is this detailed information necessary to deal with the problem.

**Attractor behaviour**

Complexity science notes a specific type of pattern called an attractor. Attractor patterns provide comparatively simple understanding of what at first seems to be extremely complex behaviour. For example, in psychotherapy, clients are more likely to accept a counsellor's advice when it is framed in ways that enhance their core sense of autonomy, integrity, and ideals. These are underlying attractors within the complex and ever changing system of a person's detailed behaviour. Relatively simple attractor patterns have been shown in share prices in a financial market, biological systems (such as beat to beat variation in heart rate), human behaviour (such as Mr Henderson's frequent consulting), and social systems (such as nurses' staffing patterns on a hospital ward).

Doctors' behaviour is notoriously difficult to influence, but, as we shall illustrate in the article on organisational applications in this series, attractor metaphors can be used to identify potentially fruitful areas for work.

**Inherent self organisation through simple locally applied rules**

Order, innovation, and progress can emerge naturally from the interactions within a complex system; they do not need to be imposed centrally or from outside. For example, termite colonies construct the highest structures on the planet relative to the size of the builders. Yet there is no chief executive termite, no architect termite, and no blueprint. Each individual termite acts locally, seemingly following only a few simple shared rules of behaviour, within a context of other termites also acting locally. The termite mound emerges from a process of self organisation.

In everyday life many complex behaviours emerge from relatively simple rules in such things as driving in traffic or interacting in meetings. While no one directs our detailed actions in such situations, we all know how to behave adaptively and end up getting to where we want to go. We shall explore this concept further in the forthcoming article on management and leadership in healthcare organisations.

The certainty-agreement diagram (based on Stacey)

**The zone of complexity**

Langton has termed the set of circumstances that call for adaptive behaviours "the edge of chaos." This zone (the middle area in the figure) has insufficient agreement and certainty to make the choice of the next step obvious (as it is in simple linear systems), but not so much disagreement and uncertainty that the system is thrown into chaos (figure). The development and application of clinical guidelines, the care of a patient with multiple clinical and social needs, and the coordination of educational and development initiatives throughout a practice or department are all issues that lie in the zone of complexity.

Our learnt instinct with such issues, based on reductionist thinking, is to troubleshoot and fix things—in essence to break down the ambiguity, resolve any paradox, achieve more certainty and agreement, and move into the simple system zone. But complexity science suggests that it is often better to try multiple approaches and let direction arise by gradually shifting time and attention towards those things that seem to be working best. Schön's reflective practitioners, Kolb's experiential learning model, and the plan-do-study-act cycle of quality improvement are examples of activities that explore new possibilities through experimentation, autonomy, and working at the edge of knowledge and experience.

Not all problems lie in the zone of complexity. Where there is a high level of certainty about what is required and agreement among agents (for example, the actions of a surgical theatre team in a routine operation) it is appropriate for individuals to think in somewhat mechanistic terms and to fall into their pre-agreed role. In such situations the individuals
relinquish some autonomy in order to accomplish a common and undisputed goal; the system displays less emergent behaviour but the job gets done efficiently. Few situations in modern health care, however, have such a high degree of certainty and agreement, and rigid protocols are often rightly abandoned.

Conclusion

This introductory article has acknowledged the complex nature of health care in the 21st century, and emphasised the limitations of reductionist thinking and the “clockwork universe” metaphor for solving complex nature of health care in the 21st century, and emphasised the limitations of reductionist thinking and the “clockwork universe” metaphor for solving emergent behaviour but the job gets done efficiently.

A patient who changed my practice

Fifteen years before looking at job experience

When we recently looked at a survey on chronic uraemia that included patients for whom there was no occupational history, we felt that not enough attention was paid to this factor. We went back to the story of a 38 year old man who was admitted to our hospital in September 1976 complaining of severe abdominal pain. His clinical history was unremarkable up to 1961, when he first experienced abdominal pain and was admitted to another hospital. As laboratory tests showed no abnormalities and his clinical picture spontaneously improved, it was discharged, but he relapsed with colic-like severe abdominal pain and hypotension, and he was admitted to the same hospital once a year for the next 15 years. Diagnostic hypotheses were pancreatitis, liver disease, diverticulitis, and pancreatic cancer, without any confirmation.

On his admission to our hospital, a suspicion of plumbism was formed. Body lead burden on EDTA mobilisation tests was 1650 μg (normal value 150 μg; toxic levels > 1000 μg). He had been working since 1952 in a ceramic industry, making hand prepared enamel. When somebody asked him why he never talked about his job, he said: “I did, but the physicians told me I have not ‘black tooth,’ so I could not be lead intoxicated, and I was most probably suffering from psychosomatic symptoms or was even a drug addict.” A renal biopsy showed ischaemic glomeruli and arteriolosclerosis compatible with lead-nephropathy. Chelation therapy was done for 20 years (body lead burden was still > 600 μg in 1992) and halted only in 1998, as normal lead excretion was obtained. There was no relapse of abdominal pain, and he is now enjoying good general health, with normal renal function and good blood pressure control.

This case illustrates two important points: (a) only if doctors ask their patients about exposure may a causal association be established; and (b) only if doctors know the potential risk implications of an occupation on health may a correlation between symptoms and exposure be recognised.

Although some doctors think occupational diseases are a thing of the past, occupational risks do still exist, as do environmental and lifestyle exposure risks (from pollution, hobbies, habits) such as lead toxicity in children and elderly people. Occupational diseases lack “glamour” for potential clinical investigators, but they are important because they can be prevented. They also serve as models (few workers exposed to high concentrations of toxins over short periods) that help in the understanding of environmental diseases (large populations exposed to low concentrations over long periods).

So, please ask patients about job experience and other possible occupational or environmental exposure, as we have done routinely since looking after this patient.

Piero Stratta clinician

Caterina Canavese clinician, Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation, University of Torino, Italy

We welcome articles up to 600 words on topics such as A memorable patient, A paper that changed my practice, My most unfortunate mistake, or any other piece conveying instruction, pathos, or humour. If possible the article should be supplied on a disk. Permission is needed from the patient or a relative if an identifiable patient is referred to.