

force of any legal/social obligation. The key word here is **VOLUNTARILY**. It is about choosing to do some thing that is not mandated by the law or not doing some thing that is permitted by the law but may cause harm to some one.

Ethics are not universal. Ethics are derived from social values. Word “Ethnicity” is a derived word from Ethics which means relating to a specific social group. Thus, a set of ethical values relate to certain group which may not completely match with other group. Ethics keep changing from place to place, group to group, country to country and time to time. What is considered ethical today may have been considered unethical a few centuries back. What is ethical in one religious group may be considered unethical in other group. So, ethics are time and space dependent. Ethics are what you have learnt from the society as right or wrong behaviour. Law of the land might change from time to time but ethics remain relatively constant over a fairly long period of time. Whatever is bound by the law, does not remain “ethics” any longer. An ethical practice today might be coded into a law tomorrow. That practice would loose the high ground of ethics from that moment because ethics is about “voluntarily conforming to a good behaviour”.

Ethics almost always appear on the fringes of the law. It might often cross the boundaries either way by small margins. What it means is that some thing which is lawful could still be unethical and even vice versa. Ethics is what a true human being is expected to do in a certain situation without the binding of law. No breach of law is committed by a person who accosts and demands his outstanding loan from his debtor in front of marriage party of the debtor’s daughter. But would any person support such an act? It would be termed outright unethical. (*He should consider himself lucky if does not get beaten up by some self appointed conscience keepers of the society*). Similarly, many consider Robin Hood to be perfectly ethical, though his acts were out rightly unlawful. It is widely accepted norm that **any act which achieves greater good for greater number of people is ethical**. Even a refusal to forego one’s lawful right would be termed unethical if it is going to cause a disproportionate and catastrophic loss to other person.

Business is as old as human civilisation. Laws came into existence much much later. But code of ethics began to take roots when man began to live in groups. Laws are nothing but formal codification of ethics of the society. But laws are constrained by the infinite contingencies and subjectivities of the situations to codify every thing. Thus, ethics will continue to be there to guide the mankind on to the right path. Ramayana is believed to be the oldest treatise on code of ethics. Bhagwad Gita is similarly treated as most scholarly book on code of ethics.

Role of ethics in business has been a perpetual issue. It has been gaining importance in the recent times due to geographical spread, growing size of businesses and their ever growing capability to impact the lives of millions and millions of people. It has also been highlighted by the colossal scams in some businesses in recent times. The purpose of business is to earn profits. And almost every business tries to maximise its profits. But the question that arises here is how much profit and at what social and other costs? In a market place, beyond a certain point, one man’s profit is at the cost of loss to some one else. Market forces generally are able to balance the amount of profit among all participants in a trade. But situations arise when there is concentration of power, legal or illegal, in certain

Common Ethical Dilemmas for Business Managers

- Honesty in advertising and in communications with superiors, clients, and government.
- Problems relating to special gifts, entertainment, and kickbacks.
- Overlooking wrong doings of others

Criteria for Ethical Decision Making

- Utilitarian Approach
- Individualism Approach
- Moral-Rights Approach
- Justice Approach

Utilitarian Approach – Moral behaviour that produces the greatest good for the greatest number.

Individualism Approach – Acts are moral when they promote the individual's best long-term interests (e.g., the “golden rule”). This rule works on the principle that unethical approaches may lead to short term gains but harm the long term interest.

Moral-Rights Approach – Behaviour that acknowledges that every human being has some fundamental rights (e.g., free consent, privacy, due process)

Justice Approach – Standards of equity, fairness, and impartiality.

Teleological Theory – Consequence Theory. It states that moral worth of an action/practice is determined solely by the consequences of action or practice. In simple words, ethicality of an action is determined solely by the results it produces.

Deontological Approach – It is exact opposite of Teleological approach. It believes that Actions are not justified by their consequences. Factors other than good or bad outcomes determine the rightness or wrongness (ethicality) of actions or practices. It believes that value of action lies in motives. *(I personally endorse this view. We have all read the story of sparrow who decided not to fly south when winters came. How she was revived from frozen condition by warmth of cow dung and then how a cat pulled it out of cow dung, cleaned and ate it up. Morale of the story – Every guy who throws shit at you is not your enemy and every guy who pulls you out of shit is not your friend).*

Factors Affecting Ethical Choices

- (a) ***For the Manager***

society. Fragmented progress is temporary and often illusory. It is only when all elements of the environment are taken care of that an individual or organisation can hope to consistently succeed in its ventures.

The Gandhian Philosophy of Wealth Management is based on the principle that a wealthy man does not truly have the right to hoard wealth solely for the self; the only right he has is that to an honourable livelihood. In an article called, “Corporate Barbarism to Corporate Citizenship”, carried in The Journal of Corporate Citizenship, eminent academician and author Dr SK Chakraborty of IIM Calcutta described the concept of “lok sangraha”, oriented towards the common good. This is distinct from capitalistic economics, with its attendant social ecological and psychological woes.

Indian Corporate Leaders and Trusteeship: One of the most inspiring examples of corporate trusteeship, in recent times, comes from Infosys, particularly from its former CEO and current chief mentor, Narayana Murthy. His rationale for creating this company along with a small group of people (better sharing of wealth in society), the involvement of employees in the company’s fortunes (through ESOPs) and his contentment with a mere 7% of company stock (he prefers it that way) reflect a deep-rooted commitment towards trusteeship. Other notable examples include the house of the Tatas with their corporatised initiatives for socio-corporate benefits; the “WIPRO Cares” Foundation, with a targeted corpus of Rs 100 crore for primary education; and the Birla foundation with its focus on socio-economic improvement in the lives of the people touched by the corporation. The possibility of feel-good exercises induced with an eye on the bottom-line cannot be ignored. Yet, corporate boardrooms are increasingly discovering a match between the long-term interests of the company and their willingness to expand focus to all categories of stakeholders. As the roles of wealthy CEOs and influential policy-makers continue to gain public scrutiny, the question that management must periodically ask themselves is: Does our existence lead to any benefits for society?

decided not to charge the maximum rate of Rs 900 per share. Company priced the shares at Rs 850 leaving Rs 50 on the table voluntarily for the investors. Referring to fixing the price at Rs 850 despite the oversubscription at Rs 900 per share, Tata said, 'We priced the issue at this level to provide attractive upside to investors once the scrip is listed on the exchanges. Share, immediately after listing, rose to Rs 1400 and since then has maintained that level despite a 1:1 bonus issue (effective price approx Rs 2,400).

There was no statute in any law which could have forbidden the company management from charging full Rs 900 per share. And no one could have questioned even at a later date given the performance of the company. Yet, as verbalised by Mr Tata, company wanted to leave for its investors an attractive upside upon listing. And just to let you know as to what was the total sum that TCS had foregone by its decision – Rs 275 Cr.

Now contrast this with Allahabad Bank FPO.

April 2005. Allahabad Bank launched its second public issue at a price band of Rs 75 to Rs 82. On 25 Apr 2005, management fixed the allotment price at the maximum rate of Rs 82 per shares despite the fact that share price on that day had fallen to Rs 83 per share from a high a Rs 105 during the subscription period. Allahabad Bank Shares were trading at Rs 60 on 01 Dec 04 and had risen to Rs 105 during the subscription period. Even today, despite NSE index having risen from 1960 on 25 Apr 2005 to Approx 4000 today, share is still being quoted at Rs 77; Rs 5 below allotment price.

Even though there is enough circumstantial evidence of share price manipulation in the run up to the FPO, company can not be nailed legally. About ethical standards, lesser said the better.

(There is a possibility that Allahabad Bank management did not act unethically since, being a public sector bank, management had very little to gain from share price manipulations. However, realising that share price was on the downslide and almost touching the issue price levels, management should have prevailed upon the Lead Managers to the issue to reduce the allotment price. Thus, even though prima facie, it appears to be the act of stock market cartel of brokers, it is hard to give the bank management a clean chit).

Concentration of power to generate large profits comes from sound business strategy. Strategy is after all a business plan to develop sustainable competitive advantage. Deception/decoy is the most important element of any strategy. Deception can be against competitors, suppliers or customers. Many companies price their products in a manner that customers are fooled into believing that product is very cheap where as it could be much costlier. Or they market their product to the customers who the companies are fully aware that do not require it.

Case Study - II

There is nothing more precious in this world than your child's smile. Insurance Companies capitalise on this fact. They keep selling insurance policies to people in the name of their children. That poor father and mother eat a chapatti less every day and even deny their child a few things to be able to pay the insurance premium. But of what use will that insurance claim money be to that poor heartbroken father when that smile is not there? Every insurance company knows that the main purpose of life insurance is to replace lost income when a person dies. But the child has no income. So, what is the purpose of child's life insurance? Can we call this business strategy of insurance companies an ethical strategy? And if some here has a counter in mind that insurance provides a saving plan, let it be known that the yield on an insurance premium is less than half of a bank deposit. When the interest/yield is compounded over a 20 year period, which is the normal life insurance period, you are poorer by more than half of what you would have got if you were depositing the same insurance premium amount in a recurring deposit in the bank. And if tax saving is the purpose, then buy an additional policy for your self but not for child. But no insurance company will ever tell you this because it is much easier for them to convince a father in the name of child than in his own name.

Case Study – III

Unlike many other companies who offer free home loan insurance, HDFC charges approximately 7% of the loan amount as insurance charge. If you examine the issue minutely, you would realise that such insurance is hardly required by borrower. In case of unfortunate death of borrower, bank is the biggest sufferer since, given the state of Indian Judicial system, it is almost impossible to get the money from legal heirs despite the mortgage and all kinds of collateral securities. And they convince you to sponsor that insurance for their convenience in the name of convenience for your loved ones. Is it an ethical strategy?

Linking Strategy with Ethics

Ethical responsibilities of firm to Stakeholders –

- ✓ Owners/Shareholders - Expect good return on their investment
- ✓ Employees - Expect respect for their worth & devoting their energies to firm
- ✓ Customers - Expect reliable, safe product or service at fair prices
- ✓ Suppliers - Expect equitable relationship with firm
- ✓ Community - Expect businesses to be good citizens in their community

Thus, on the face of it, ethics and strategic management look like two banks of a river who can never meet. On one hand, you want to strategise your business to earn more profit (for shareholders sake), and on the other hand you want to surrender a legal opportunity to earn profit in the name of ethics (for other stakeholders sake). What a paradox! But such paradoxes are not impossible. There are many such paradoxes which exist in real life and a fine balancing act is required in such situations. Give the customer honest prices through efficiency in production and give the shareholder bumper profits through productivity and growth in business rather than rip-off pricing.

Honesty does not always mean bad business. Similarly, following business ethics does not always mean surrendering profits. Often a profit opportunity surrendered in the short run becomes the key to the bigger door of profits in the long run. Ethical practices in strategic management create a new resource called Social Capital through “Trust”; trust of society, trust of customers, trust of suppliers and so on. This capital manifest profits in terms of customer loyalty and increased sales, lesser requirement for marketing effort, higher leverage in dealings, lesser employees turnover, etc.

Strategic Management is the starting point for ethical conduct of a company. As brought out earlier, a company’s business plan, the products it plans to offer, its positioning, market segmentation, marketing strategy, promotion, pricing, capital structuring, etc are all decided at the strategy session. Deception and decoy being an integral part of the strategy, dilemma lies in deciding their extent.

The ethical challenge in companies is often triggered by financial problems. When financial problems occur, it is tempting to do business with people you might not normally choose to do business with or in ways that you might not normally use. It is very hard to consider ethical issues when a company is in trouble.

So, as a general rule, the best approach to avoiding temptation is try and make sure that the “strategic approach” of the company involves achieving early and fast success. Small wins not only provide feedback to guide a company, but also reinforce the strategic perspective of the company. The paradox surfaces here once again. Ethical approach is a slow path on the way to enduring success. Rarely does any one get spectacular success in the initial phase of business through ethical means. Probably, what this rule suggests is that achieve some early and fast success by may be not so ethical means and once you have feet firmly planted on the terra-firma of the business world, turn ethical.

Many empirical studies have proved that companies following ethical practices have generally gained in the long term. It is not to say that unethical companies have not gained ever. We have a few examples in our own country where a few most unethical companies have risen in corporate ladder to dizzying heights. But exceptions don’t make the rule.

Situations Promoting Unethical Behaviour

Let us now see the situations in which ethical issues are set aside in strategic management process: -

There are perhaps four basic situations:

1. **One-Time Product Sale** - The product sold is a one time sale and the life cycle of the product is such that it will be sold to many people before bad word of mouth kills the product. An example of this might be “Tourist traps” e.g. restaurants in tourist locations. There is always another new customer coming along. One could argue that when the stock market is high, issuing of an IPO is very similar to the sale of a one time product. Allahabad Bank IPO quoted in Case Study II is one such example.
2. **Durable Goods** - The quality of the product is poor, but does not show up for some time. During the period of adequate performance, people continue to buy. This tends to be an issue with durable products like consumer electronics, equipment, housing, etc.
3. **Survival Instincts** - A company when faced with basic survival issues due to competitive pressures of various kinds is most likely to set aside ethics.
4. **Hubris/Greed** - Perhaps the most remarkable examples of unethical behaviour are the situations where the senior management team is making remarkably high amounts of money and they go even further into excess. The Reliance example, wherein Mr Anil Ambani had spilled the beans about his brother Mr Mukesh Ambani siphoning off Rs 7000 Cr of investor money is one such example. Unfortunately, in a rotten political and legal system that we have, their financial clout never allowed this issue to get the prominence that it deserved. In US, Adelphia Communications (Founder John Rigas was found guilty of siphoning off USD 2.3 billion from company and has been jailed for 30 years) and Tyco International (whose CEO Dennis Kozlowski was found guilty of stealing over USD 150 million from the company), appear, to many, to fall into this category. By most people’s standards, the senior executives involved in these cases seem to have been exceptionally well paid, and yet they crossed a line that seems to defy rationale explanation. Hubris is the only explanation.

And while taking decisions, an organization should base its decisions on two basic theories that enable an ethical approach to take strategic decisions. These are

- ✓ Stakeholders’ theory,
- ✓ Loyalty and psychological contract and cultural relativism

Stakeholders Theory

Stakeholders Theory is a diametrically opposite view of Friedman’s theory of 1970. Friedman thought that “social responsibility” was some kind of mask for socialism, and called it a “fundamentally subversive doctrine in a free society” and has said that “in such society, there is one and only one social responsibility of business --to use its resources and

- (b) It lays down the procedure to report such allegations.
- (c) Policy establishes an independent investigation team who would investigate these claims. And
- (d) Most importantly, it defines the roles, responsibilities and the rights of a whistleblower.

Roles, Rights and Responsibilities of Whistle Blowers

- (a) A whistleblower must behave responsibly when he makes a claim under the policy. He should make his report in confidence to the extent of the limitations of the law and the policy. He must understand that his motive is irrelevant for the validity of the report and if it is found that there was an intentional filing of a false report – which is improper – he will be severely penalized depending upon the policy, facts of the matter and the final decision of the independent committee.
- (b) All the employees of the company have a duty to cooperate with the investigations made thereon.
- (c) The whistleblower's confidentiality is maintained. However, in a breach in this, in events wherein his identity to become known to select few is crucial, he will be informed of this citing the reasons for doing so. He also has a right to be protected from retaliation – this could be in the form of harassment, loss of job, humiliation etc.

Advantages of WB policy

The advantages of a whistle blowers policy are many.

- (a) It acts as a very effective anti corruption tool as the insider has first hand fresh information and he is able to supply clinching evidence for pinning down the guilty.
- (b) If done at early stages, it can help check corruption. By protecting the whistleblowers, corrupt and misbehavior in others can be deterred.
- (c) It also helps to place honest ethical employees right in the centre of administration unlike situations where they are helpless spectators or are bullied into acceptance by their corrupt colleagues who dominate the show.
- (d) Making whistle blowing official and acceptable would also obviate anonymous petitioning and unauthorized leaking of news to the media leading to the loss of reputation of the company.
- (e) Very effective as anti- corruption tool as insider has first hand and fresh information, ensuring clinching evidence

- (f) Can help check corruption if done at early stages
- (g) Protecting Whistle Blowers may deter misbehavior by others
- (h) Also helps in placing honest employees in centre of administration
- (i) Making policy official and acceptable would obviate anonymous petitioning and unauthorized leaks to media

Problems in Whistle Blowing

- (a) A 'squealer' disrupts trust, teamwork and open communication – creating fear & suspicion
- (b) Expectation of loyalty from every employee – may face organizational ostracism
- (c) WB discourages corruption – but can also discourage initiative, willingness to take bold decisions and encourage negativism, defensiveness
- (d) Risk of WB used to blackmail colleagues or even bosses
- (e) Most often than not, it is seen that the very few subordinates have the courage to blow the whistle on top officers. Ultimately, it is these big game hunters go scot-free and the poor small fish get caught and fried!

Balancing the Pros and Cons

It is now necessary to balance the pros and cons that we looked at.

- (a) Legalization of whistle blowing could help in fanning out some problems. Like stated earlier, Whistle blowing does not have an unimpeachable moral basis and must be defined precisely and operationally.
- (b) This brings us to examine whether whistle blowing is a right or a duty? Once immunity is given to an employee, does it not also mean that – in cases where the whistle is not blown when it should be – should it not be treated as connivance. Or what about cases where an employee is afraid to reveal a wrongdoing in fear that the wrongs he may have done in the past could come to light?
- (c) There are many other support systems required to make a whistle blowing work effectively. And foremost among them is that Companies need to put in place a value system and a leadership that sets an example. Far too often employees are expected to be unethical in dealings outside the organization but absolutely clean inside. Such paradox does not work.

- (g) I have to arrange for cash payments to govt officials from tax departments to keep them from creating trouble for the company.
- (h) I have to manipulate data when preparing the project report to meet the hurdle rate of financial institution.

These are invoked to circumvent the conflict between the instant, relative and enduring universal values (honesty, responsibility etc). This side-stepping is also an excuse at times to subvert personal ethics in favour of company goals, under the argument that personal values are inappropriate as standards for corporate decisions.

Primary reasons for bad decisions by good managers are as follows –

- (a) **No Co-relation between Managerial Ability and Ethics** – There is actually nothing surprising about good managers taking bad ethical decisions because there is not much co-relation between two. Managerial ability is about planning, organising, developing/deploying/directing, co-ordinating and controlling a particular activity. Hardly do any of these functions require ethical input. On the contrary, control function when dealing with human element, often requires employment of cunning to extract best out of people in the short term.
- (b) **Greed** is one of the prime reasons for managers taking bad ethical decisions. Material success is high on most managers' mind. Desire to achieve material success drives them towards unethical conduct.
- (c) **Short term focus** is another reason. Ethical conduct is a sure but slow way to success. Managers lack patience and take short cut of unethical way to achieve quick material success. However, long term consequences of such unethical conduct are often disastrous.
- (d) **Inadequate moral development** is another reason. Many managers during their development process don't get exposed to don't reach **Post Conventional Level** (page 6) of moral development and remain at Pre-conventional level where they are guided in their actions by rewards and punishments.
- (e) **Misplaced Loyalty** – Many managers fall due to their misplaced. These are people who are perfectly ethical in their personal conduct. However, they indulge in all sort of unethical practices as managers. That is because they attach more loyalty to their company rather than society. They take bad decisions in order to benefit the company. They are ethical in their own right but not in popular sentiments of society who are at receiving end of their skewed loyalty. General O'Dyer was one such person.

Need for Corporate Governance

1. No information is given to investors regarding diversification, expansion, change in business, loss of business etc and instead used by promoters and top management for insider trading at the cost of small investors.
2. Many large companies are known to manipulate rules and even Govt Policies with the help of bureaucracy and political meddling.
3. If investors, FII and general public, put their money, they have every right to ask information about company.

Objective of Corporate Governance

The fundamental objective of Corporate Governance is the enhancement of shareholder's value, keeping in view the interest of other stake holders. This harmonises the need to strike a balance at all times between the need to enhance shareholder's wealth whilst not being too detrimental to the interests of other stakeholders in the company.

Factors Influencing Corporate Governance: Four factors which influence corporate governance: -

1. The ownership structure of company
2. Financial Structure
3. The structure of the company Board
4. The Legal, political and regulatory environment within which company operates.

How to Achieve Good Corporate Governance?

Since the voluntary compliance to sound corporate practices have failed in large measure, there is a need to codify the good practices as law. There is a need to institute checks and balances in the functioning of the Management and the Board. Thus, Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee was appointed by SEBI to make recommendations.

The committee made number of recommendation, some being for mandatory compliance (Suffixed with "M" in following text) and others being non mandatory (suffixed with "V").

Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee laid a great emphasis on Board of Directors for ensuring Corporate Governance. Even among the directors, it trusted independent directors to be the real watch dogs for ensuring corporate governance. Independence has been unambiguously defined in the report and following are the salient recommendations of Birla Committee:

1. ***Number of Non Executive Directors (M)*** – The Non-Executive Directors bring external and wider perspective and independence to the decision making.

- Committee recommended that minimum 50% of the directors should be non-executive directors.
2. ***Increasing the Number of Independent Directors (M)*** – Even though non executive directors are expected to bring in better objectivity and independence in decision making, there is still ample room for bigotry since every non executive director is not an independent director (Nominee Directors are not independent directors). Therefore, it recommended following minimum numbers of independent directors in the board
 - (a) In case Chairman of the Board of Directors is a Non Executive Director, one third of total Directors should be Independent Directors.
 - (b) If Chairman is an Executive Director, half the Directors should be Independent Directors.
 3. ***Attractive Financial Remuneration (V)*** – Committee recommended attractive financial remuneration to ensure that people of merit are attracted to take up the directorship of the companies.
 4. ***Nominee Directors (M)*** – Nominee directors do not represent their own company but also the general stakeholders as well. They, thus, have same responsibility and accountability towards general shareholders as any other director. They are, therefore, prohibited from communicating material information to any department of parent company which they could use to play in stock market.
 5. ***Audit Committee (M)*** – It should consist of at least 3 members and all non executive directors with majority being independent directors. The Chairman should be an Independent Director. The committee should meet at least thrice a year. Committee has powers to investigate any activity within its terms of reference.
 6. ***Frequency of Board Meetings (M)*** – The Committee recommended that board meetings should be held at least four times in a year, with a maximum time gap of four months between any two meetings.
 7. ***Limit on Number of Directorships (M)*** – The Committee recommended that a director should not be a member in more than 10 committees or act as Chairman of more than five committees across all companies in which he is a director.
 8. **Transparency in Declaring Remuneration of all Directors (M).**
 9. ***Accounting Standards and Financial Reporting (M)*** –
 - (a) ***Consolidation of Accounts of Subsidiaries*** – The companies should be required to give consolidated accounts in respect of all its subsidiaries in which they hold 51 % or more of the share capital.

INSIDER TRADING

(Courtesy Presentations by Ms Jaya Mishra's and Tejbir's Groups)

Definition

Insider Trading refers to the trading (buying as well as selling) of a company's speculative financial instruments like shares, bonds or stock options, by the insiders such as officers, directors, or major share holders (holding more than a specified percentage of the company's shares) or any individual who has access to privileged non-public information by virtue of his official duties. Such individuals include Govt Officials, auditors, etc.

Legal and Illegal Insider Trading

Insider trading based on material non-public information is considered to be fraudulent since the insiders are benefiting themselves from information availed in the course of their duty at the cost of shareholders. Such act is considered to be violation of the trust or the fiduciary duty towards the shareholders.

However, any insider trading which is not based on privileged non-public information is perfectly legal.

In common parlance, Insider Trading has a negative resonance and invariably refers to illegal trading only.

Example of Illegal Insider Trading

- ◆ The CEO sells holding of his stock in company before releasing news to the public that company is likely to lose a massive lawsuit or its supply contract with a major customer will not be renewed upon expiry next month.
- ◆ The CEO's son sells the company stock after learning from his dad about the imminent fall in share prices due to negative development in the company. However, in this case, it is not the CEO's son but CEO himself who is guilty of insider trading since he has **tipped** his son of non-public information.
- ◆ The Judge dealing with the lawsuit realizes that the company will lose the lawsuit and therefore sells the stock before the pronouncement of judgment.

However, catching insider trading is difficult without installing a software which can maintain database of holding of shares by insiders, track their trades and report any large transaction prior to large movement in stock prices. Even then, proving insider trading can be difficult, because traders often hide behind proxies. Most trading by promoters is actually never known and is therefore never reported or investigated. In some recent cases where trading was done in own name, offenders got away scot-free due to inability of prosecutors to establish the offence beyond doubt.

Laws on Inside Trading – United States

United States Stock Market is the single largest stock market as also one of the best regulated markets in the world. It has been actively pursuing against illegal insider trading. Like SEBI in India, Securities Exchange Commission is the regulatory body in US.

- ◆ SEC prohibits short-swing profits (from any purchases and sales within any six month period) made by corporate directors, officers, or stockholders owning more than 10% of a firm's shares.
- ◆ Stiff penalties for illegal Insider Trading which can be as high as three times the profit gained or the loss avoided from the illegal trading.

Laws on Inside Trading – India

- ◆ The Securities and Exchange Board of India (Prohibition of Insider Trading) Regulations, 1992, was amended on 22nd February, 2002 which mandates every company to frame a Code of Conduct for prevention of insider trading.
- ◆ Employees, including Directors, when in possession of any unpublished price sensitive information, as defined in the Regulations, pertaining to the Company, shall not:
 - Buy/sell securities of the Company, either on their own behalf or on behalf of any other person.
 - Communicate, counsel or procure any unpublished price sensitive information to / from any person
- ◆ The Designated Employees shall cover the following:
 - Directors, Executive and Non-Executive;
 - Managers at Levels 1 & 2, or its equivalent;
 - Employees in Finance and Secretarial functions located at the Corporate Headquarters; and
 - Such other employees as may be determined by the CMC from time to time.
- ◆ Designated Employees shall not buy / sell securities of the Company during Closure of the 'Trading Window', i.e. the period during which trading in the securities of the Company is prohibited
- ◆ Trading Window shall be closed during the following periods:
 - From 15th March up to twenty-four hours after the announcement of the annual financial results (and dividend, if any) to the Stock Exchanges.

- From 15th June up to twenty-four hours after the announcement of the first quarter financial results to the Stock Exchanges.
 - From 15th September up to twenty-four hours after the announcement of the second quarter and half-yearly financial results to the Stock Exchanges.
 - From 15th December up to twenty-four hours after the announcement of the third quarter financial results to the Stock Exchanges.
 - From the date of circulation of the agenda for the meeting of the Board of Directors, in which any material, price sensitive and unpublished event, including public/rights/bonus issues, expansion plans, M&A plans, disposal of part or whole of undertaking, are proposed. The closure of the Trading Window for these events will be advised by the Compliance Officer appointed by the Board of Directors for the purpose of this code.
- ◆ Designated Employees shall make the following disclosures of shares and other securities held in the Company by them and their dependant family members, to the Compliance Officer –
 - Initial disclosure of number of shares and other securities held as on 31st March, 2002. This disclosure shall be made by 30th April, 2002.
 - Annual disclosure of number of shares and other securities held as on 31st March, including details of purchase / sale of shares and other securities during the financial year. This disclosure shall be made within 30 days from the close of each financial year.
 - Changes in shareholding, when such change exceeds 50,000 shares. This disclosure shall be made within 4 working days of such change.
 - ◆ Disclosure shall also be made of the number of shares and other securities held, upon becoming a Designated Employee, at any point of time. This disclosure shall be made within 4 working days of becoming a Designated Employee.

Case Study – Samir Arora

Digital Global soft and HP ISO were planning a merger in 2003. Samir Arora was the head of equity investments in India for Alliance Mutual Fund. Samir was aware of the impending merger announcement and sold shares of Digital Global thereby saving the loss to his company.

Added to this before selling, he made statements of promising returns from the scrip. SEBI ruled Samir Arora guilty of insider trading. However, when Samir Arora appealed, SAT overruled SEBI on following grounds: -

- (a) Samir could not have known the exchange ratio as it was given in sealed cover.

CASE STUDIES

Case Study 1 – The Parable of the Dark Child of Narhi-La

The events of three weeks at far away Narhi-La had a deep significance for Chandra in understanding and resolving the ethical discord he was having with his organization for the past two years. He was the export manager of Mansukhani Sarkar & Co who were in the business of handlooms and handicrafts. They had exclusive boutiques in several urban centres in India and a few abroad and all making large profits. Their two directors, Mrs. Mansukhani and Mrs. Sarkar had close connections with politicians and were therefore able to garner a lot of government support for their company in the form of subsidies and other benefits. Whereas they projected themselves as great social workers, helping the preservation of ancient crafts and skills of the country, when it came to sharing profits with the primary producers, Chandra felt that they were harsh and unethical. Further, they operated through middlemen, who they knew took big cuts before paying the primary producers.

Mrs. Sarkar also has an embarrassing habit of lifting items she liked from the showroom of the middlemen and not ever paying for them. Some of them complained to Chandra, but did not pursue the matter as Mrs. Sarkar had powerful politician friends. Chandra often felt like resigning from his job. But his wife always dissuaded him. You cannot expect every person in the organization to have exemplary ethics. As long as they are doing good overall, you should turn a blind eye to their faults, she said. Chandra was not sure if she said so only to prevent him from taking any disastrous course which would hurt her and their children. It was however true that the company gave him considerable freedom to develop a network of artisans in the deserts of Kutch in Gujarat and in the poverty stricken Erode district of Tamil Nadu. Mrs. Sarkar was invaluable in getting contracts from abroad and the company was free with its finances and could support a large turnover with sufficient credit arrangements. Admittedly, this large number of artisans who manufactured for exports through Mansukhani & Sarkar were much better off than other artists of the area. A couple of them even managed a free trip abroad with government support and high profile publicity. Chandra was in the last stages of clinching a long term contract with an American Party. He had sent them samples and a quotation. Before he went abroad to negotiate with them, he thought he should undertake a Himalayan trek to spiritually recharge himself away from his mundane life at Mansukhani & Sarkar.

The trip had unexpected consequences. The group had a mixed crowd – geographers from Japan, a black American medical technician, a Norwegian journalist, Chandra and Dr. Gulati, a pediatrician from Delhi. They were to assemble at Tehridun at Uttarakhand, transported 350 km by jeep to Jyoti Math and then trek to Narhi-La 150 km away. After acclimatization at Narhi-La they had to go to the Chinese border.

Narhi-La had a scanty population of 30, mostly the aged. The able bodied had all gone to the plants. Five year old Lakhan was one of the few children whose parents had gone to the

Case Study 2 - The Portable Ultrasonograph

Never before has it happened in my life. We have failed in our public relations, bemoaned Virji Suratwala, Chairman, of Universal Electronics as he watched the small group headed by Dr. Arulnayagam (hereafter referred to as the doctor), fasting in front of his factory gates. Their banners proclaimed to the whole world that 'Universal Electronics are mercenary murderers of innocent babies'. As they caught a glimpse of his face, they roared lustily. The doctor was protesting against the latest Universal Electronics product, a much vaunted revolutionary technology in ultrasonography brought into the country in collaboration with a world famous American multinational. The product was a small sized portable ultra scan sonographic recorder small enough to be packed in a briefcase. The foetus tests would become much easier and could be carried out even at home. For a year the doctor had tried every other means to persuade Universal Electronics not to make this product. Picketing was his last desperate step to stop them.

The doctor felt that in India it could have only one effect. It would dramatically increase the number of female foeticides as it would be easier to determine the sex of the child. If it was a girl it would be aborted. For their complicity in this unethical outcome, he branded UE as the most unethical company in India. Paradoxically, both UE and the American multinational with whom they were collaborating prided themselves as being ultra ethical. Both the companies had won many international awards for ethical excellence. Ironically, the American company had also provided that the Indian company should distribute along with the machine a note by a known American doctor on the ethics of using the ultrascan machine. It said that the chances of a baby girl being mistaken for a baby boy were 7% in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy and therefore it would be unethical for parents with a history of haemophilia to abort a child identified as male just because the chances of males getting the disease were high. But the notes ignored that baby boys can never be mistaken for baby girls. It frivolously also added that one might as well wait for the baby to be born before deciding if the nursery walls should be colored pink or blue.

UE had been chosen after a long search for an ethically strong Indian Company. Virji still recalled the demeaning ethical examination he was put to by the foreign multinational. 'As if all Indians are crooks and all Americans are angels' he remarked. We have given up crores of rupees of profits because we refused to offer bribes and everyone knows that in this industry, bribery is most rampant he added. He was speaking the absolute truth. He had ensured that the induction program for his new recruits drilled them on ethics. The slightest fall in standards would mean the sack. For him unethicality only meant corruption. This perception of unethicality was also shared by the foreign collaborator.

Virji's legal advisors first disputed the doctor's statistics. But that was a fruitless approach. He was a well respected public health statistician. He could back his statistics to the hilt. Then the PR line harped on the woman's right to abort abnormal foetuses. The doctor then detailed case histories to show that in most of the decisions, the new portable instrument could be used secretly. The family seniors could overrule the mother. Social activists had little opportunity to intervene. Otherwise they could at least park themselves in front of the sonography clinics. The abortion usually pushed mothers to months of intense depression. UEs next line of defence was that the instrument did nothing more than make

geologist, who became his close friend. They freely exchanged experiences over many hours of professional discussions. Bose was a true scientist who did not hold back any knowledge. Rathnam knew all about Bose's methods of investigation and developed an expert system that embodied this knowledge. The top management of CPI supported this project beyond the expectations of the two scientists.

The news that CPI had decided to give Bose the golden handshake was a terrible moral shock to Rathnam who had no difficulty in identifying the cause – the much regarded knowledge base of his own creation. Rathnam pleaded with the top management to change their minds. He reminded them that Bose was a heart patient and would find it impossible to do another good job, and also that this action of theirs would result in many brilliant persons shying away from the company's employment. They did take him seriously. Much to their shock Rathnam resigned from his job.

As a permanent resident of the USA, Rathnam could have returned there after his stint with CPI. But he did not intend to return to Mexico though he was welcome there. He had just turned 56 and he could have worked as professor for at least another 10 years. He chose to come back to India in spite of the offers from several Universities in the USA as he expected to be more comfortable with the ethical climate in India.

Rathnam had offers from several institutes of management in India. He decided to make his choice only after acquainting himself with each of them for a period of two to three days. He told them all that he would like to belong to the growing segment of early retirees who spent their time and expertise to serve the society at large and educational institutions are the best media he would prefer.

However Rathnam soon started showing signs of disapproval of the things happening in India. The Indian world seems to have changed from my student days and I see much petty dishonesty and a permissive ethical climate though not as ruthless as CPI but saddening nevertheless, he said. He was disturbed by three problems. There was large scale use of pirated software, this weighed on his conscience. Second, there was hardly any spirit of knowledge-sharing among computer professionals. Third, Indian systems had little protection for ensuring privacy of Information. Admittedly, this would require very high cost, but he felt that the price was worth paying.

In one of the institutes, he had heart-to-heart discussions with Professor Kulkarni who belonged to his generation. Rathnam was further bewildered by Kulkarni's views. Kulkarni felt that the ethics of piracy must also be seen in the light of the ethics in fair pricing by foreign software manufacturers. But it seemed that the Indian Copyright Act was now tightened and foreign manufacturers had posted representatives who could help police raids to capture evidences of Piracy. Greater liberalization had also provided greater opportunities for equitable bargains by educational institutions. Some others felt that the Indian legal system was unequal to the task of regulating piracy as corruption could destroy all semblances of law and order.

As for the reluctance of many Indians to share their knowledge, he found most heads of institutions unable to do anything about it as computer personnel were in short supply with

